

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	1			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,209	09/16/2003	Donald A. Baines	Agere-6 (Baines 1-3-7)	2357
26479 7590 12/28/2007 STRAUB & POKOTYLO 620 TINTON AVENUE BLDG. B, 2ND FLOOR TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, TAMMY T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,209	BAINES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tammy Pham	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (B) In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Octoor      This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pre-		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 2-8,11-17,20-24,26 and 27 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 2-8,11-17,20-24,26 and 27 is/are rejection</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicate ity documents have been receiv i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No  ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	A) [ ] (	. (DTO .442)	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D  5) Notice of Informal I  6) Other:	Date	

10/663,209 Art Unit: 2629

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Claim 1, 9-10, 18-19, 25 have been cancelled. Claims 2-8, 11-17, 20-24, 26-27 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. <u>Claims 2-8, 11-17, 20-24, 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KNEE et al. (US Patent No: 5,994,710) in view of Montgomery et al. (US Patent No: 4,797,544).</u>
- 3. As for independent claim 6, KNEE teaches of a method comprising: capturing a plurality of image parts (column 3, lines 37-38); determining position information corresponding to each of the plurality of image parts; and c) generating image information using, at least, the plurality of image parts and the corresponding position information (column 12, lines 49-53); wherein the act of capturing a plurality of image parts includes focusing light (Fig. 1, item 2) reflected from a surface (Fig. 1, item 5) onto an image pickup device (Fig. 1, item 10, column 6, lines 18-20); wherein the act of determining position information includes accepting, by the image pickup device (Fig. 1, item 10), light reflected from the surface (Fig. 1, item 5), wherein the light reflected from the surface (Fig. 1, item 5) is emitted from a first light source (Fig. 1,

Art Unit: 2629

item 2, column 6, lines 30-33); wherein the light emitted from the first light source (Fig. 1, item 2) and reflected from the surface (Fig. 1, item 5) onto the image pickup device (Fig. 1, item 10) is used in the act of capturing a plurality of image parts (column 6, lines 10-15) and determining position information (column 6, lines 30-33).

- 4. KNEE fails to teach of a second light source, and that the second light determines position information (while the first light source captures a plurality of image parts).
- 5. Montgomery teaches of a second light source (Fig. 6, item 151) that determines position information (column 5, lines 38-31).
- 6. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a second light source of Montgomery with the capturing device of KNEE in order to have each light source provide a unique purpose in the overall scheme of the mouse. The advantage of having each light source provide a different purpose or function to the mouse is that this allows greater flexibility in the design of the mouse, since each light source may be adjusted accordingly in order to better carry out its unique purpose (Montgomery, column 1, lines 50-55).
- As for independent claim 8, in addition to the claim limitations of claim 6 as analyzed above; KNEE further teaches that the act of determining position information includes focusing light reflected from the surface (Fig. 1, item 5) onto a second image pickup device (Fig. 2c, item 30, column 11, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2629

- 8. As for independent claim 12, see independent claim 6 above.
- 9. As for claims 2, 3, KNEE teaches that the position information includes coordinate information (column 12, lines 49-53) {claim 2}; and change of position information (column 11, line 64) {claim 3}.
- 10. As for claims 7, 11, KNEE as modified above by Montgomery fails to teach that the light emitted from the first light source has a larger angle of incidence with the surface than the light emitted from the second light source.
- 11. Examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to have the second light source be positioned at a different angle from the first light source. For evidentiary reference, please refer to Anderson et al. (US Patent No: 6,657,183 B2) where clearly shows that the two light sources (Fig. 3, items 3, 27) are positioned at different angles.
- 12. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to position the first light source to have a higher angle of incident with the surface than the second light source in order to reflect more light to a wider area, thereby allowing a greater image area to be captured.
- 13. As for claims 13-17, KNEE teaches that the position information includes coordinate information (column 12, lines 49-53) {claim 13}; change of position information (column 11,

Art Unit: 2629

line 64) {claim 14}; orientation information {claim 15}; acceleration information {claim 16} and velocity information {claim 17} in column 1, lines 40-45.

- 14. As for claims 20, see the rejection of claim 7 above.
- As for claims 21, 22, 23, KNEE as modified above by Montgomery teaches that the second light source is a light emitting diode {claim 21}; infra-red light emitting diode {claim 22} tunable light source able to modulate at least one of wavelength, polarization, and amplitude {claim 23} (KNEE: column 5, lines 20-25).
- 16. As for claim 24, see the rejection of claim 8 above.
- 17. **As for claims 26, 27,** KNEE teaches that the image parts (not shown) are captured from a paper document (Fig. 1, on item 5, column 5, lines 33) and wherein the act of generating image information using, at least, the plurality of image parts (not shown) and the corresponding position information uses the image parts (Id.) to compose a larger image (column 6, lines 53-60).

## Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments of 10 October 2007 with respect to claims 2-8, 11-17, 20-24, 26-27 have been considered and they are persuasive.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tammy Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7773. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:30 (Mon-Fri).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sumati Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-3638. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP

14 December 2007

Tammy Pham

Patent Examiner

Technology Division 2629

SUMATI LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER